

<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>
Tonbridge Civic Society	We support the various proposed additions to, and removals from, the existing Conservation Area.	This supportive response from one of the principal stakeholders is welcomed.	No changes required to the proposed Conservation Area boundary or the Appraisal.
Eileen E Best	Supports the addition of land at Mill Lane (addition 5) to protect small areas of green space.	This supportive response is welcomed.	No changes required to the proposed Conservation Area boundary or the Appraisal.
Mr J. Boulle	Welcomes additions to the Conservation Area and has no comments on the deletions which are new build dwellings	This supportive response is welcomed.	No changes required to the proposed Conservation Area boundary or the Appraisal.
Mr P. Charlton	The precise line of the proposed Conservation Area adjacent to Grove House is different in Map 1b from that shown in the larger scale map 9, on page 35. The future integrity of the Mill Pond area of the Conservation area is likely to be best preserved by having the new boundary run behind the squash court. In this way, the Ash trees etc would be included in the Conservation area and this attractive vegetation, on the boundary of the area, is more likely to be preserved in any future development (as explicit approval for any trimming/removal would need to be sought from the Council). Similarly, although the squash court itself has no particular 'conservation' merit, it would help to ensure that any subsequent development would have to conform to full 'conservation' area criteria.	<p>Consistent with other proposed amendments, the revised conservation area boundary excludes areas which are not of special architectural or historic interest. The area proposed for exclusion contains new houses in Garden Road, together with the area of land to the east, containing the squash court and some mature Ash trees. Although the trees make a valuable contribution, the area now comprises mostly buildings. None of the buildings have special architectural or historic merit.</p> <p>Wherever possible, it has been the intention for the conservation area boundary to follow a recognised feature on the ground and in this case it is intended that the boundary follow the brick wall which separates the garden of Grove House from the adjoining site.</p> <p>For these reasons, this area is considered no longer to merit inclusion within the conservation area.</p>	Amend Map 1b to be consistent with the Millstream Townscape Analysis Map.

		<p>Nevertheless, control over any new development will remain under planning powers and the area will remain as part of the setting of the Conservation Area and be covered by Design Guidance within the Appraisal:</p> <p><i>Buildings which would be visible from the Conservation Area, or form part of its setting, should preserve or enhance the Conservation Area.</i></p> <p>A Tree Preservation Orders may be served on any important trees of amenity value which are threatened by development in the future.</p>	
Mrs C. Dorrington	There are more deletions than additions so more of Tonbridge is losing its identity.	This has been an exercise in establishing merit in Conservation Area designation based on the area being of special architectural or historic interest. The area has witnessed change over the four decades since the original designation and the Council has properly undertaken its duty to re-assess the Conservation Area boundary.	No changes required to the proposed Conservation Area boundary or the Appraisal.
James Staniland (Chairman Haydens Management Limited); Derek V Evans and a 27 signatory petition from Haydens Mews; Richard Archer; Derek A Oakley; Brian Hockinge; R.A. Sinden; Dennis and Audrey	Haydens Management is owned by the residents of the estate and exists to maintain the communal areas. We prefer that the proposed deletion does not take place as a good deal of effort goes into maintaining the Haydens as an attractive place which is enjoyed by Tonbridge residents. Whilst the Bryant homes are standard designs, the estate was	In proposing the deletion of this part of the Conservation Area, it was accepted that The Haydens was an attractive development in its own way. However, this is not sufficient to merit Conservation Area designation which requires that the area be of special architectural or historic interest. When this area was first designated it comprised open space and the original character has substantially changed. The area now comprises a significant area of	No changes required to the proposed Conservation Area boundary or the Appraisal.

<p>Smith; D. Richard; D.A. Bruce</p>	<p>built to conservation area requirements. The old white building at the entrance to the Haydens Mews and other smaller greens within the estate (particularly the strip that borders the top of Bourne Lane) should remain within the conservation area.</p> <p>The retention of the Crest development at the Slade seems at odds to the retention of the Haydens. In order to conserve the current aesthetically pleasing architecture, landscaping and general appearance the whole of the Haydens should remain in the Conservation Area. The homes are elegant design and blend in with the surrounding older type properties. Hayden Mews is a unique courtyard of cottages, apartments and bungalows and warrants architectural merit.</p> <p>The area was constructed less than 20 years ago and has had no chance to demonstrate historic value but is a model of careful planning. Propose retention of the reconstructed 19th Century The Elms (54 and 56 Haydens).</p> <p>The footpaths of Elm Lane, Bourne Lane and Lovers Walk were once used for taking cattle to market. It may be that if the Haydens were to be excluded from the conservation area some residents would take it upon themselves to alter the</p>	<p>residual open space (fronted, and visually contained, by housing development) which provides a strong visual feature to Yardley Park Road at this entrance to the Conservation Area. For these reasons it is proposed to retain this area within the Conservation Area.</p> <p>The remaining open space areas such as Elm Lane, Bourne Lane, or Lovers Walk, whilst attractive in themselves, are now incidental open space - often narrow walkways bordered by fencing and hedging or trees - surrounded by modern development. Their character has completely changed since acting as cattle routes across open fields.</p> <p>The reconstructed 19th Century The Elms (54 and 56) at the entrance to Haydens Mews is now surrounded by modern development and a modern brick and fence boundary.</p> <p>For these reasons, the area proposed to be deleted from the conservation area no longer merits inclusion.</p> <p>Inclusion within a Conservation Area does not in itself prevent development and change. Nevertheless, control over any new development will remain under planning powers and the area will remain as part of the setting of the Conservation Area and be covered by Design Guidance within the Appraisal:</p> <p><i>Buildings which would be visible from the Conservation Area, or form part of its setting, should preserve or enhance the</i></p>	
--	--	---	--

	<p>ambiance of the estate.  Questions the exclusion of area of land proposed for the relief road from the conservation area.  Welcomes the deletion as a way of reducing Council Tax.  By deleting the conservation area, owners would be able seek planning consents at a higher density.  Does the Council have proposals which would only be considered if the designation was lifted?  Would the leasehold on the field proposed to be retained within the Conservation Area be affected?</p>	<p><i>Conservation Area.</i></p> <p>Neither ownership, nor management, of the open spaces should be affected by deletion from the conservation area.</p>	
<p>Monsignor Michael Smith  Corpus Christi Church</p>	<p>I think the scheme has a great deal of good in it but the Fisher Hall car park should be retained within the Conservation Area to prevent the wrong sort of development taking place on land adjacent to the car park.</p>	<p>The car park is proposed for deletion alongside Lockside comprising modern terraced houses and flats set around a standard cul de sac street pattern. The area, including the car park, is not of special architectural or historic interest and no longer merits inclusion within the conservation area. Nevertheless, control over any new development will remain under planning powers and the area will remain as part of the setting of the Conservation Area and be covered by Design Guidance within the Appraisal:  <i>Buildings which would be visible from the Conservation Area, or form part of its setting, should preserve or enhance the Conservation Area.</i></p>	<p>No changes required to the proposed Conservation Area boundary or the Appraisal.</p>